

SR-20 STATINTL

My understanding of orders concerning SR-20 as given by Captain [REDACTED] to

STATINTL meeting attended by [REDACTED] and myself & 12 September at 1030:

1. Branch is to take copy as is now and get sections ready (up to date etc.) as fast as possible.

2. Our deadline for dissemination to Agencies for concurrence (he did not mention what sort of concurrence he meant but I assume for comment first---then final conc. or diss.) will be no more than five working days after receipt of a section.

3. If we find some inescapable reason why we cannot comply within deadline, we are to let [REDACTED] know at once. ("I'll get the goddam thing out of here if I have to proofread it myself," says he.)

.....

Other points added by me.

1. Think we should have all appendixes ready to go out with first installment, assuming they are all right as now constituted, with ~~editing, rewording, etc.~~ corrections according to errata sheet and acquiescence on part of branch.
2. If we have stencils and they're salvagable, let's use them. If not, and this requires anything like a retype, we'll have to find some way to do it.
3. Sad though it is, this project is going to have to take a ~~high~~ priority over

things far more important, as R.L. is clearly on the verge of being further

goaded thereto by S.H.

000071

*Dick - I approve of this. Please
take it up with [redacted] or*

STATINTL

Jack---

[redacted], at your discretion. RJS

On this Indochinese thing. I thought at first that I could

do some sort of imaginary tale regarding Ho Chi Minh which might result

in a usable section on the subject. [redacted] well got me con-

25X1A

vinced now that it can't be done. As he can show you, these people

just didn't know what they were driving at when they wrote the piece

and instead of giving anybody some sort of notion of how Ho operates

they went round and round the subject, blinded by an overdeveloped sense

of their own ignorance.

If it were something else, we might be able to let it go with
editorial patching, but it seems to me that the most important question

to be answered about FIC is the one that isn't answered here. My

only solution at the moment would be to take the whole matter down

to [redacted], show him what we think is wrong with it, and have it re-

done by his board of estimates down there---not [redacted] office which

I'm quite sure couldn't possibly do anything better than we've got.

Also believe now that the economic hash I showed you the other day might

be given the same treatment. It'll hold the job up, but that isn't our
fault.

This is a TEMPORARY DOCUMENT
only, for the use of DCI/NO.
The record copy has been
released to National Archives
under the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM.

Date 4 JAN 91 HRP 89-2

STATINTL

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		
2/27/52		
DATE		
TO: Mr. [REDACTED]		
BUILDING	ROOM NO.	
<p>REMARKS: Herewith the research outline for ORE history. Reason for peculiar spacing is to give you plenty of space to add, subtract, multiply, and divide. Would appreciate any help</p> <p style="text-align: center;">GSJ</p> <p>This document has been approved for release through the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of the Central Intelligence Agency.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Date <u>4 JAN 91</u></p>		
FROM: HRP <u>89-2</u>		000071
BUILDING	ROOM NO.	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 36-8
SEP 1946